

E  
457  
4  
LT6

LINCOLN AND THE  
NEW YORK HERALD

Lincoln



Class E 457  
4  
Book L 76  
Copyright N° \_\_\_\_\_

COPYRIGHT DEPOSIT.










LINCOLN  
AND THE  
NEW YORK HERALD

UNPUBLISHED LETTERS OF  
ABRAHAM LINCOLN  
FROM THE COLLECTION OF  
JUDD STEWART

*Privately Printed*  
PLAINFIELD, NEW JERSEY  
1907



Lincolnians

Copyright, 1907, by  
THE LINCOLN FELLOWSHIP

*The letters reprinted herein are from the Gettysburg Edition of THE COMPLETE WORKS OF ABRAHAM LINCOLN and are reproduced through the courtesy of the Francis D. Tandy Company. The letters given in facsimile, which perhaps complete all that Lincoln ever wrote upon this incident, have never heretofore been published. They were written to George G. Fogg, who was Secretary of the first Republican National Convention.*

*In order that this incident in the Great Martyr's career may be presented in as interesting a form as possible, the Ambrotype of Lincoln*

## ABRAHAM LINCOLN

*taken August 13th, 1860 (three days before his letter for the New York Herald) now in the collection of Major William H. Lambert of Philadelphia, is used as a frontispiece.*

*These letters of Lincoln telling of his boyhood, of his parents — his father in particular — and showing his great forbearance under a false imputation, seem to justify the publication of them as a separate addition to the great number of volumes on his life and work.*

JUDD STEWART.

*Plainfield, Nov. 7, 1907.*

LETTERS TO  
SAMUEL HAYCRAFT

(*Private*)

SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS,  
May 28, 1860.

DEAR SIR:

Your recent letter, without date, is received. Also the copy of your speech on the contemplated Daniel Boone Monument, which I have not yet had time to read. In the main you are right about my history. My father was Thomas Lincoln, and Mrs. Sally Johnston was his second wife. You are mistaken about my mother. Her maiden name was Nancy Hanks. I was not born at Elizabethtown, but my mother's

## ABRAHAM LINCOLN

first child, a daughter, two years older than myself, and now long since deceased, was. I was born February 12, 1809, near where Hogginsville (Hodgensville) now is, then in Hardin County. I do not think I ever saw you, though I very well know who you are—so well that I recognized your handwriting, on opening your letter, before I saw the signature. My recollection is that Ben Helm was first clerk, that you succeeded him, that Jack Thomas and William Farleigh graduated in the same office, and that your handwritings were all very similar. Am I right?

My father has been dead near ten years; but my step-mother, (Mrs. Johnston,) is still living.

## LETTERS TO HAYCRAFT

I am really very glad of your letter, and shall be pleased to receive another at any time.

Yours very truly,

A. LINCOLN.

(*Private*)

SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS,  
June 4, 1860.

DEAR SIR:

Your second letter, dated May 31st, is received. You suggest that a visit to the place of my nativity might be pleasant to me. Indeed it would. But would it be safe? Would not the people lynch me?

The place on Knob Creek, mentioned by Mr. Read, I remember very well; but I was not born there.

## ABRAHAM LINCOLN

As my parents have told me, I was born on Nolin, very much nearer Hodgen's Mill than the Knob Creek place is. My earliest recollection, however, is of the Knob Creek place. Like you, I belonged to the Whig party from its origin to its close. I never belonged to the American party organization; nor ever to a party called a Union party, though I hope I neither am, nor ever have been, less devoted to the Union than yourself or any other patriotic man.

It may not be altogether without interest to let you know that my wife is a daughter of the late Robert S. Todd, of Lexington, Ky., and that a half-sister of hers is the wife of Ben Hardin Helm, born and

LETTERS TO HAYCRAFT  
raised at your town, but residing at  
Louisville now, as I believe.

Yours very truly,

A. LINCOLN.

SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS,  
August 16, 1860.

MY DEAR SIR:

A correspondent of the *New York Herald*, who was here a week ago, writing to that paper, represents me as saying I had been invited to visit Kentucky, but that I suspected it was a trap to inveigle me into Kentucky in order to do violence to me. This is wholly a mistake. I said no such thing. I do not remember, but possibly I did mention my correspondence with

[ 13 ]

ABRAHAM LINCOLN

you. But very certainly I was not guilty of stating, or insinuating, a suspicion of any intended violence, deception or other wrong, against me, by you or any other Kentuckian. Thinking the *Herald* correspondence might fall under your eye, I think it due to myself to enter my protest against the correctness of this part of it. I scarcely think the correspondent was malicious, but rather that he misunderstood what was said.

Yours very truly,

A. LINCOLN.

SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS,

August 23, 1860.

MY DEAR SIR:

Yours of the 19th just received.  
I now fear I may have given you

[ 14 ]

## LETTERS TO HAYCRAFT

some uneasiness by my last letter. I did not mean to intimate that I had, to any extent, been involved or embarrassed by you; nor yet to draw from you anything to relieve myself from difficulty. My only object was to assure you that I had not, as represented by the *Herald* correspondent, charged you with an attempt to inveigle me into Kentucky to do me violence. I believe no such thing of you or of Kentuckians generally; and I dislike to be represented to them as slandering them in that way.

Yours very truly,

A. LINCOLN.





George J. Hogg, Esq.  
Astor-House  
New York.



Private

Springfield, Ill. Aug. 16. 1860

Hon. George G. Fogg.

My dear Sir:

I am annoyed somewhat by the printed paragraph below, in relation to myself, taken from the N. Y. Herald's correspondence from this place of August 8<sup>th</sup>.

He had, he said, on one occasion been invited to go into Kentucky and revisit some of the scenes with whose history his father in his lifetime had been identified. On asking by letter whether Judge Lynch would be present, he received no response; and he therefore came to the conclusion that the invitation was a trap laid by some designing person to laveigle him into a slave State for the purpose of doing violence to his person.

This is decidedly wrong. I do not say it - I do not impugn the correspondent - I suppose he misconceived the statement from the following incident - Soon after the Chicago nomination I was written to by a highly respectable gentleman of Lander County, Ky., inquiring if I was a son of Thomas Lincoln, whom he had known long ago, in

in that country - I answered that  
I was, and that I was myself  
<sup>then</sup> born - He wrote again, and, among  
other things, (did not invite me) but  
simply inquire if it would not  
be agreeable to me to revisit the scenes  
of my childhood - I replied, among  
other things, "It would indeed; but  
would you not synchronize?" He  
did not write again -

I have, playfully, (and never other-  
wise,) related this incident several  
times; and I suppose I did so  
to the Herald correspondent, though  
I do not remember it - If I did,  
it is all that I dare say, ~~as~~  
from which the correspondent <sup>could have</sup>  
inferred his statement -

Now, I dislike, exceedingly, for  
Kentuckians to understand that I  
am charging them with a purpose  
to injure me, and do violence to  
me - Yet I can not go into the

newspapers - Would not the editor  
of the Herald, upon being shown  
this letter, insert the short connection,  
<sup>which you think</sup> upon the inclosed scipt?

Please try here, unless you per-  
ceive some sufficient reason to  
the contrary - In no event, let  
my name be publicly known.

Yours very truly

A. Lincoln



Correction.

We have such assurance as satisfies us that our correspondent writing from Springfield, Ill., under date of Aug. 8- was mistaken in representing Mr. Lincoln as expressing a suspicion of a design to inveigh him into Kentucky for the purpose of doing him violence—  
Mr. Lincoln neither entertains, nor has, entertained to express any such suspicion—



Springfield, Ills. Aug. 29. 1860

Hon. George G. Fogg.

My dear Sir

Yours of the 23<sup>rd</sup> was  
only received yesterday evening.

You have drawn precisely right in  
the matter with the Herald - Do  
nothing further about it - Although  
it wrongs me, and annoys me some,  
I prefer letting it run its course, to  
getting into the papers over my own  
hands - I regret the trouble it has  
given you, and thank you also for  
having performed your part so cheer-  
fully and conciently -

What you say of the Empress seems  
to me of a piece with all the news  
I receive from them - The whole field  
appears reasonably well.

Yours very truly  
Lincoln





DEC 18 1907



LIBRARY OF CONGRESS



0 011 932 827 5

